



Bridging the gap

KALAMUNDA DISTRICTS BRIDGE CLUB NEWS

21s December, 1977

Volume 2 No. 26

EDITORIAL

As this is my Swan Song as acting Editor of K.D.B.C. firstly I must compliment Peter on his vast output of material which has enabled you to have continued supplies of your magazine. Other contributors are conspicuous by their absence, only Brian Kirby having contributed in the past 6 issues. Your hard working Secretary, Ellen, has been responsible for production and what a sterling job she has done under very trying circumstances, copy not arriving until the day of issue etc. etc. 1977 has been a difficult year for most of us and let us now leave it behind us for better or worse and make 1978 a year to remember and not one to forget. Happy and Prosperous New Year to you all and step forward the new Editor.

A.N. other.

Dear Peter,

To Butler or not to Butler - finale

Even if I am one of "them," I think your letter shows that you have seen the light at the end of the long dark tunnel as you write and talk more like a "them" than me. What I was trying to say before is that many rubber bridge players who have switched to duplicate would do better in Butler scored sessions than in Duplicate Match pointed pairs. In the latter type of scoring the primary object is overtricks for declarer and no overtricks for defender whatever the contract, be it 2C or 4H. In Butler scoring the primary object as declarer is to make and as defender to break. Rubber bridge players rarely consider overtricks either in play or defence and therefore would be far more at home with Butler scoring than Match point scoring which is so highly artificial. You can score 110 and get a top or 90 and get a bottom - that's not bridge. These may do quite well playing in Butler scored events but the margin over rubber bridge players would be insignificant compared with the 10% - 20% margins that occur in pairs match pointed scored bridge. Bridge for bridge players - not the highly artificial match pointed pairs rubbish. A large number of our members would not know whether they have scored well or badly on a board. That is the fault of you and me, not the players. Let us educate our members as well as ourselves, Mr. Schoolteacher.

Yours etc.

Michael

A timely win for Addison/Wilson ... another fine score for the two C's... only 7 tables and a depleted field. Addison/Wilson win \$10 with a net 67.1%.

Addison/Wilson 69.3%; Burgess J./Preece 62/0%; Goddard R./Hart 59.5%; Graham/Sales 54.0%; Chisholm/L'Herpinlere 53.5%.

Club Results - 14th Dec. 77

The night the movement went wrong again, movement cards are fine as long as they are correct - P. Hart apologises to all victims, especially the innocent T.D..... that Sec/Treas. thing worked again; the TD was only second.... success at last for two senior ladies and two new ladies, still pupils at night school ... notable failure for Turley/Turley (50.7%) Hallam/Prince E. win \$10. with net 65.7%.

Hallam/Prince E. 67.9%; Hopper/Kirby 64.3%; Ramsay/Teague 62.9%; Chisholm/Webster 62.1%; Gartner/Hardman 57.9%; McLean/Tee 56.4%; Valentine/Ward 55.7%.

25th Dec 1977
25th Dec 1977
25th Dec 1977